


CUTT

Fall 1996 • Volume Seven • Number Three • A Publication of Cornell Cooperative Extension



The Influence of Plant Growth Regulators on Golf Course Turf

Plant growth regulator (PGR) technology is poorly understood by a majority of golf turf managers. PGR's are touted as tools for reducing mowing, controlling annual bluegrass, and increasing green speed. PGR's regulate growth by inhibiting cell division or cell elongation. The PGR's recommended for use on high quality (or Class A type) turf generally inhibit cell elongation for a period of time (weeks).

The process of regulating cell elongation includes the synthesis of giberillic acid (GA). Each PGR effects the synthesis differently. For example, studies have shown that paclobutrazol (Turf Enhancer) and flurprimidol (Cutless) block GA synthesis early in the pathway. This early blockage prevents the creation of the 50 or so GA's necessary for growth. This indiscriminate blockage can result in severe injury under stressful conditions. Also, this explains the morphological effects of Turf Enhancer with regard to widening the blades of bentgrass under regulation. Trinexepac (Primo) blocks the pathway at the very end after the 50 or so GA's are produced but before the important GA₁, can trigger elongation. In essence, Primo is less physiologically disruptive. ■

PGR's for mowing management could extend the mowing intervals and allow for increased flexibility with staff time. Also, it could reduce wear and tear on mowers, reduce energy consumption and clipping problems.

This study is in the 3rd year. The first two seasons investigated clipping reduction and vi-

sual quality. Data from these years indicate that regulation greater than 40% significantly reduces turf quality below an acceptable level. Assuming this information, the next two years of research will address morphological and func-

continued on page 4

This Times

1. *The Influence of Plant Growth Regulators on Golf Course Turf*
2. *Short Cutts*
 - Winning Fields
 - Turf & Grounds Expo
 - Neal says farewell
 - Cornell Short Course
3. *Scanning the Journals*
6. *Kentucky Bluegrass Golf Course Fairway Cultivar Evaluation*
8. *NTEP Evaluation of Bentgrass Cultivars for Fairways and Tees*
10. *CUTT Index*
12. *Snow Mold Control*

Plant Growth Regulators

continued from front cover

Plant growth regulators are touted as tools for reducing mowing, controlling annual bluegrass, and increasing green speed. PGR's regulate growth by inhibiting cell division or cell elongation.

Plant growth regulators for mowing management are viable options, however, a growing season that is conducive to excessive top growth will neutralize the regulation to a great extent.

4

tional parameters such as vertical leaf extension and ability to recover from divot injury.

Experimental Methods

Plant growth regulator treatments were applied for the third consecutive year to a Penncross creeping bentgrass fairway turf growing on a Batavia silt loam pH 7.4. Applications were made at various intervals from July through September. Fertilizer applications are made to supply 2.5 to 3.5# N/M/year. Plots are irrigated to prevent stress.

Vertical leaf extension was measured daily with a Turf-Chek Prism for 7 weeks after the initial application date. Plots were mowed one time per week for the first 4 weeks then because of severe mowing quality reductions, schedules expanded to three times per week. The MSU/UW Divot Extraction System was used to create uniform divots in each plot coinciding with the scheduled 4 week treatments. This resulted in 3 sets of divots per month of the season. Divot recovery was measured weekly with the point quadrant method recording a hit when the vegetation was encroaching the divot. Visual quality ratings were recorded monthly from 1 to 9; where 1=poor turf; 6=minimum acceptable turf; 9=excellent turf.

Results

After the second full year of PGR treatments, no snow mold fungicide applications were made. The turf had continued to become thatchy and the spring of 1995 brought a severe *Typhula* spp. snowmold infestation. The plot area required two months from the damage, therefore delayed treatment initiation until early July. One could speculate that ability to recover might be evident in the June quality ratings, where the soil active material treated plots, TGR, Turf Enhancer and Cutless, had less than acceptable quality.

The influence of PGR's on turf density has been reported by several researchers. The lack of significant differences between treatments and the untreated plot for divot recovery could be interpreted as being consistent with the idea that active lateral growth or tillering continues.

Vertical leaf extension was substantial across the entire turf facility. Environmental conditions were conducive to active top growth if moisture

was not limiting. Untreated plots from week 3 through week 7 increased leaf height by at least 50%. This means that if you mowed once per week you'd remove half of the foliage with each mowing. And as is expected, close-cut bentgrass often requires several mowings per week.

There are significant differences among the treatments, however, only a few Primo and Cutless treatments at 4 week intervals provided acceptable regulation and maintained quality. In both cases it was immediately following the second 4 week application. Primo at 0.02 lbs. a.i./acre applied every two weeks did provide excellent regulation, acceptable visual quality with slight, but insignificant increase in thatch.

In the second year of measuring thatch, every effort was made to increase the individual plot sampling to account for within plot variability. As a result, thatch level changes were significant and indicate that 3 years of regular Cutless use could lead to significant increases in thatch level. No other PGR approached the same level of changes in thatch.

Summary

Plant growth regulators for mowing management are viable options, however, a growing season that is conducive to excessive top growth will neutralize the regulation to a great extent. Therefore, the light frequent applications of low rates of Primo gave excellent regulation (about 35%), maintained acceptable quality (6.9) and did not significantly reduce divot recovery. However, increased Primo rates to 0.04 demonstrated substantial release of regulation (rebound) that may have a physiological consequence predisposing the plant to low-temperature stress.

TGR + fertilizer plots exhibited significant phytotoxicity from applications made under high temperature when the bentgrass may have been stressed. However, the same rate of PGR in Turf Enhancer provided excellent quality and steady regulation throughout the season. Cutless treatments resulted in darker green turf that had a rather non uniform appearance. Regulation with Cutless was adequate, however, increased rates compromise quality and result in thatch accumulation greater than the untreated.

FRANK ROSSI, EXTENSION TURFGRASS SPECIALIST
CORNELL UNIVERSITY TURFGRASS TEAM

Table 1. Data from the 1995 Bentgrass Fairway Mowing Management Trial showing divot recovery and vertical leaf extension.

Treatment	Rate (lbs. ai/A)	Appl. Interval	Weekly % Divot Recovery*				Average Weekly % Vertical Leaf Extension**							
			Divots Taken in July	Divots Taken in Aug.	Divots Taken in Sept.	Mean Divot Wkly Recovery	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4	Week 5	Week 6	Week 7	Mean Vert Leaf Extension
			Untreated			9.8	22.6	34.2	22.2	25.7	42.0	58.3	52.5	49.9
Primo 1EC	0.02	1wk	8.9	20.0	36.8	21.9	17.2	40.6	56.1	58.4	42.3	38.3	50.2	43.3
Primo 1EC	0.02	2wk	11.9	20.4	36.8	23.0	13.4	37.9	57.0	50.2	33.4	27.7	33.4	36.1
Primo 1EC	0.04	2wk	8.3	22.6	34.3	21.8	19.2	48.5	46.4	52.7	55.1	71.9	136.0	61.4
Primo 1EC	0.04	4wk	8.6	24.3	35.3	22.7	14.5	52.2	52.7	56.5	56.0	69.3	124.5	60.8
Primo 1EC	0.08	2wk	8.6	17.4	35.0	20.3	10.0	41.9	53.8	55.9	43.6	42.5	60.0	44.0
Primo 1EC	0.08	4wk	7.5	18.9	40.6	22.3	0.0	46.3	53.0	48.5	42.4	46.2	73.0	44.2
Primo 1EC	0.17	4wk	8.1	22.5	36.5	22.4	12.1	39.0	54.6	52.5	37.4	33.4	43.2	38.9
Untreated			10.1	21.0	35.1	22.1	14.8	42.5	63.7	55.5	37.0	30.9	37.3	40.2
Untreated			8.8	19.4	37.5	21.9	3.4	41.9	58.2	58.7	42.4	38.2	49.9	41.8
Cutless 50WP	0.125	2wk	7.5	19.9	37.9	21.7	13.2	48.1	55.6	54.7	47.3	51.1	80.1	50.0
Cutless 50WP	0.25	4wk	8.8	18.8	36.2	21.2	5.8	43.8	57.0	55.9	43.0	41.3	57.6	43.5
Cutless 50WP	0.5	4wk	7.8	20.0	37.4	21.7	1.3	37.9	63.4	55.1	32.9	24.6	26.6	34.5
Cutless 50WP	0.75	4wk	7.1	22.1	37.1	22.1	15.5	45.3	55.3	48.3	39.6	40.6	60.3	43.5
Cutless 50WP	1	4wk	8.2	21.9	35.9	22.0	6.6	38.4	64.0	48.4	29.1	21.8	23.7	33.1
Turf Enhancer	0.125	4wk	8.8	21.1	36.5	22.1	14.3	55.4	63.5	56.9	49.7	54.2	85.7	54.2
Turf Enhancer	0.25/0.125	4wk	9.8	21.1	33.9	21.6	4.7	43.6	68.7	52.1	33.1	26.3	30.3	37.0
Turf Enhancer	0.25/0.25	4wk	12.8	21.3	38.1	24.0	0.0	46.8	72.6	54.9	35.4	28.6	33.4	38.8
TGR+Fert.	0.25	4wk	9.1	20.9	41.3	23.8	0.0	41.2	65.1	71.1	45.0	35.6	40.8	42.7
TGR+Fert.	0.5	4wk	10.3	21.5	42.3	24.7	1.8	46.1	64.6	68.5	48.8	43.5	56.2	47.1
	LSD (0.05)		NS	NS	NS	NS	12.2	4.7	6.3	5.9	13.5	28.6	42.4	12.9

* Divot recovery measured using the point quadrat method and recovery expressed as percent divot fill/week.
** Leaf extension measured daily with the Turf-Chek apparatus and measurements expressed as percent increase in height/week.

Table 2. Data from the 1995 Bentgrass Fairway Mowing Management Trial showing visual quality and thatch levels.

Treatment	Rate (lbs. ai/A)	Appl. Interval	Visual Quality					Thatch Levels**				
			June	July	Aug.	Sept.	1995 Quality Mean	Typhula Snow Mold*	Initial (mm)	Final (mm)	% Change	
			Untreated			7.1	6.8	6.0	7.0	6.7	5.0	7.0
Primo 1EC	0.02	1wk	6.8	6.7	6.4	7.3	6.8	4.2	6.5	7.0	7.7	
Primo 1EC	0.02	2wk	7.1	7.2	6.2	7.1	6.9	5.0	6.6	7.1	7.0	
Primo 1EC	0.04	2wk	7.0	6.7	6.3	7.3	6.8	4.5	7.0	6.3	-10.5	
Primo 1EC	0.04	4wk	7.2	7.1	6.5	6.7	6.9	4.5	6.7	6.1	-8.6	
Primo 1EC	0.08	2wk	6.5	6.6	6.9	8.0	7.0	5.0	7.2	6.6	-7.3	
Primo 1EC	0.08	4wk	7.1	6.8	6.8	7.8	7.1	4.2	7.2	6.4	-10.6	
Primo 1EC	0.17	4wk	6.8	6.7	6.1	7.0	6.7	4.8	7.2	7.4	3.1	
Untreated			6.5	6.6	5.9	6.8	6.5	5.2	6.3	6.6	5.2	
Untreated			6.7	6.3	6.6	7.6	6.8	3.5	6.5	6.1	-5.8	
Cutless 50WP	0.125	2wk	7.1	7.0	6.5	7.5	7.1	4.0	5.8	7.1	21.8	
Cutless 50WP	0.25	4wk	6.0	6.1	6.5	7.5	6.5	4.0	6.5	6.8	4.8	
Cutless 50WP	0.5	4wk	6.8	6.5	6.8	7.8	7.0	4.0	6.2	7.1	14.9	
Cutless 50WP	0.75	4wk	5.7	5.6	6.8	7.8	6.5	4.5	4.9	6.3	28.8	
Cutless 50WP	1	4wk	5.6	5.7	6.5	7.4	6.3	4.5	4.9	6.6	35.2	
Turf Enhancer	0.125	4wk	6.9	6.6	6.2	7.1	6.7	4.0	6.3	6.8	6.3	
Turf Enhancer	0.25/0.125	4wk	6.1	6.0	6.4	7.3	6.5	5.0	6.5	6.3	-3.6	
Turf Enhancer	0.25/0.25	4wk	5.9	6.0	7.0	8.0	6.7	5.2	7.0	6.6	-6.9	
TGR+Fert.	0.25	4wk	6.3	6.0	4.3	4.9	5.4	4.8	5.2	6.8	28.6	
TGR+Fert.	0.5	4wk	5.9	5.8	4.8	5.5	5.5	4.5	6.8	6.8	-0.5	
	LSD (0.05)		0.5	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.3	NS	5.3	0.3	13.9	

* Typhula Snow Mold incidence rated from 0 to 9; where 0=no disease, 9=severe disease.
** Thatch levels determined by the press-method.